

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/762,497	04/20/2001	Shojiro Kawakami	FUK-81	4970	
22855	7590 05/06/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
RANDALL J. KNUTH P.C.			CURTIS, CRAIG		
3510-A STELLHORN ROAD FORT WAYNE, IN 46815-4631			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	_,		2872	-	

DATE MAILED: 05/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/762,497	KAWAKAMI ET AL.	KAWAKAMI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Craig Curtis	2872	An	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence addre	9SS	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply 1 f NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely, from the mailing date of this commonence (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ja	nuary 2004.			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	•	•	nerits is	
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or				
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accompanies to the specification to the	epted or b) objected to by			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			1 121(d)	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Appl rity documents have been rec	ication No	age	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rec	eived.		
Attachment(s)	4) Interview Sum	mary (PTO_413)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/M	mary (P10-413) ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)	

Serial Number: 09/762,497 Page **1/2**

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

1. Claims 3, 4, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure that is not enabling. More specifically, the recitation "... said laminating performed by a film-forming method at least partly including a step of dry etching said first refractive medium layer and said second refractive medium layer...," which is critical or essential to the practice of the invention and included in the claim(s), is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976). The precise manner in which said dry etching is performed in said film-forming method must be set out unambiguously in the claims.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1, 2, 5, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicants regard as the invention.

Serial Number: 09/762,497 Page **23**

Art Unit: 2872

With regard to claim 1 in particular (and, of course, to claims 2, 5, and 6, in view of their dependency therefrom), the meaning of the recitation "...being configured for acting against the light (read: the light) such that the light thereby has a component whose angular incidence direction is not zero from the z-axis in the three-dimensional orthogonal coordinates (x,y,z) (read: from the z-axis in the three-dimensional orthogonal coordinates system (x,y,z)..." cannot be ascertained as same is presently drafted. With regard to the "... light thereby has a component whose angular incidence direction is not zero from the z-axis...," recitation, it is respectfully suggested that Applicants consider reciting simply that said light is incident non-normally (i.e., not perpendicularly) with respect to (as opposed to the presently recited from) the z-axis. Moreover, Applicants' recitation that the "...light has a component whose angular incidence direction is not zero...(emphasis added)" is not sufficiently definite. If by so reciting this limitation Applicants intended to convey that the light so "acted against" (a phrase which itself represents non-standard terminology in the polarization art—please consider amending this to read, for the sake of example, as "... being configured to polarize light...") has a well-defined polarization orientation with respect to the z-axis of said polarizer, Applicants are respectfully requested to amend the claims such that this is set out in a definite manner.

Page 34

Serial Number: 09/762,497

Art Unit: 2872

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in

this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1, 2, 5, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Weber (U.S. Pat. No. 5,559,634).

With regard to claim 1, Weber discloses (see Fig. 1) the invention as claimed, a polarizer

and, based on the structural teachings contained therein, method steps for producing a polarizer

comprising:

a multilayered structure along z-axis consisting of two or more transparent bodies which have

different refractive indicies relative to one another (Fig. 2: 20 & 22: see col. 4, ll. 19-29);

each said layer having a shape (see Fig. 2), each said layer being a unit of lamination (id.), the

shape of each said layer being in the form of an undulated structure (id.), said undulated structure

consisting of a set of co-directed undulations, said undulated structure being a regularly undulated

structure,

the lamination along the z-axis repeating the shape and being configured for acting against

light such that the light thereby has a component (namely the S-polarized component depicted in Fig.

Serial Number: 09/762,497 Page 4/5

Art Unit: 2872

2) whose angular incidence direction is not zero from the z-axis in the three-dimensional orthogonal coordinates (x,y,z) associtated with the polarizer (id.).

With regard to claim 2, Weber further teaches wherein said polarizer has a first refractive medium layer containing at least one of Si and TiO₂ (viz, TiO₂: see col. 4, ll. 19-29) as a main component and a second refractive medium layer containing SiO₂ as a main component (id.).

With regard to claim 5, Weber further teaches wherein the shape of layers at least one of (read: wherein at least one of said layers has a shape that is) has a regularly undulated structure along the x-axis and is uniform along a y-axis. See Fig. 2.

With regard to claim 6, please see col. 4, Il. 19-29 (viz., SiO₂ (n=1.45) & TiO₂ (n=2-2.5)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3, 4, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weber (U.S. Pat. No. 5,559,634) in view of FUJI (JP-0145124).

With regard to claims 3 & 4, Weber discloses the invention as set forth above **EXCEPT FOR** an explicit teaching wherein said performed film-forming method at least partly includes a step of dry etching said first refractive medium layer and said second refractive medium layer. FUJI, however,

Page \$6

Serial Number: 09/762,497

Art Unit: 2872

resulting thereby.

discloses (see ABSTRACT) the dry etching of a film using a dielectric coating and a photoresist. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the invention of Weber such that said film-forming method further at least partly include a dry etching of said first refractive medium layer and said second refractive medium layer, as motivated by FUJI, for at least the purpose of optimizing the uniformity in thickness of the layers

With regard to claim 7, please see Fig. 2 in Weber, it being noted that the adjective long can reasonably be considered as a relative descriptor.

Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments with respect to the claims 1-7, filed on 23 January 2004, have been 5. considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Serial Number: 09/762,497 Page **97**

Art Unit: 2872

Contact Information

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Craig Curtis, whose telephone number is (571) 272-2311. The centralized facsimile phone number for Art Unit 2872 is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature regarding the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Audrey Chang Primary Examiner Technology Center 2800

Craig H. Curtis Group Art Unit 2872 28 April 2004